A Gentle Introduction to Quantum Computing Algorithms

Elliot Catt¹ Marcus Hutter^{1,2}

¹Australian National University, ²Deepmind {elliot.carpentercatt,marcus.hutter}@anu.edu.au



Strong abuse of notation Mild use of Mathematics Set scenes Moderate explanations

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Table of Contents

What is Quantum Computing?

Quantum Turing Machines

Bra and ket Notation

Quantum Gates and Submodules

Quantum Algorithms

Quantum Complexity Theory

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What is Quantum Computing?



Quantum Turing Machine

- In a certain sense, a quantum computing (/Turing machine) is essentially a probabilistic computing (/Turing machine) which uses the L² norm instead of the L¹ norm
- Has complex-valued amplitudes in the place of non-negative real probabilities

 A complex-valued unitary transition matrix instead of a stochastic one

(Deterministic) Turing Machine



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(Deterministic) Turing Machine

Definition (Bernstein & Vazirani (1997))

A deterministic Turing machine is a triplet (Σ, Q, δ) , where Σ is a finite alphabet with an identified blank symbol #, Q is a finite set of states with identified initial state q_0 and finial state $q_f \neq q_0$, and δ , a deterministic transition function, is a function

$$\delta : Q \times \Sigma \to \Sigma \times Q \times \{L, R\}$$
(1)

Here $\{L, R\}$ denote left and right, directions to move on the tape. The state q_f is also called the Halting state.

Probabilistic Turing Machine



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ─ 差 ─ 釣ぬぐ

Quantum Turing Machine



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ 圖 のQ@

Definition (Bernstein & Vazirani (1997))

Call $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ the set consisting of $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there is a deterministic algorithm that computes the real and imaginary parts of α to within 2^{-n} in time polynomial in n.

If we do not use this restriction "it is possible to smuggle hard-to-compute quantities into the transition amplitudes, for instance by letting the *i*th bit indicate whether the *i*th deterministic TM halts on a blank tape."

Quantum Turing Machine

Definition (Bernstein & Vazirani (1997))

A Quantum Turing Machine M is defined, much like a classical Turing Machine (Definition 1), by a triplet (Σ, Q, δ) where Σ is a finite alphabet with an identified blank symbol (#), Q is a finite set of states with identified initial state q_0 and final state $q_f \neq q_0$, and δ , the quantum transition function,

$$\delta : Q \times \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{\Sigma \times Q \times \{L,R\}}.$$

The QTM *M* has a two-way infinite tape of cells indexed by \mathbb{Z} , each holding symbols from Σ , and a single read/write tape head that moves along the tape. A configuration or instantaneous description of the QTM is a complete description of the contents of the tape, the location of the tape head, and the state $q \in Q$ of the finite control.

The Dirac bra-ket (Dirac, 1939) notation is as follows: first we use it to represent the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{C}^2

$$|0
angle = egin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ |1
angle = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with a single qubit being described as

$$\left|\phi\right\rangle = \alpha \left|0\right\rangle + \beta \left|1\right\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

This is called a ket. Where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$

For
$$|a\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $|b\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, we will also define the tensor product in bra-ket notation as follows:

$$\ket{a} \otimes \ket{b} = \ket{a} \ket{b} = \ket{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} lpha_0 eta_0 \\ lpha_0 eta_1 \\ lpha_1 eta_0 \\ lpha_1 eta_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

.

For example, instead of writing $|0\rangle\otimes|0\rangle\otimes|1\rangle$ we will write

$$|001\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 0\\1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1\\1 \cdot 0 \cdot 0\\1 \cdot 0 \cdot 1\\0 \cdot 1 \cdot 0\\0 \cdot 1 \cdot 1\\0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0\\0 \cdot 0 \cdot 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We will also raise some qubits to the power of tensors, for example:

$$|\mathbf{a}\rangle^{\otimes 4} = |\mathbf{a}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{a}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{a}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{a}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_0 \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Additionally we will define the conjugate transpose as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{a}|:=|\boldsymbol{a}\rangle^{\dagger}=(\bar{\alpha_0},\bar{\alpha_1})$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the complex conjugate of $\alpha.$ This notation is called a bra.

$$\langle a | | b \rangle = \langle a | b \rangle = \bar{\alpha_0} \beta_0 + \bar{\alpha_1} \beta_1$$

Superposition

- A collection of qubits (vector) v ∈ C^{2ⁿ} is said to be in a superposition if ⟨v||v⟩ = 1.
- We require that the operators (matrices) we apply to collections of qubits (vectors) preserve this superposition property.

Unitary Matrices

- The property we are interested in is called unitary. An operator (matrix) U is unitary if inverse of U is also the conjugate transpose, i.e. UU[†] = I.
- If any linear operator was allowed, Quantum Computing would be unreasonably powerful (Aaronson, 2005).

Definition

The Hadamard gate H acts on a single qubit and corresponds to the following unitary matrix

$$H=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} egin{pmatrix} 1&1\ 1&-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1.1

For instance
$$H |0\rangle = \left|\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and
 $HH |0\rangle = H \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix} = |0\rangle.$

It is important to note that the Hadamard gate is both self-adjoint and its own inverse. That is, $HH = HH^{\dagger} = I$.

Definition

The controlled-not gate, *CNOT*, acts on two qubits and performs the not (bit flip) operation on the second qubit if the first qubit is $|1\rangle$. This equates to the following unitary matrix

$$CNOT = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For instance $CNOT |0\rangle |a\rangle = |0\rangle |a\rangle$ and $CNOT |1\rangle |a\rangle = |1\rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix}$

Definition

The $\pi/8$ gate, $R_{\pi/4}$, corresponds to a rotation of the $|1\rangle$ qubit by $\pi/4$. The matrix representing this rotation is

$$R_{\pi/4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \end{pmatrix}, \ R_{\pi/4} \ket{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The gate is called the $\pi/8$ gate for historical reasons, even though the gate is a rotation of $\pi/4$.

These three gates are important as they form a universal set of gates for two qubits.

This means that any classical two bit circuit can be constructed using only these three gates (Nielsen & Chuang, 2002).

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

The Solovay–Kitaev theorem states that there exists universal sets of gates such that any unitary matrix can be efficiently approximated by a finite sequence of gates from this set. Nielsen & Chuang (2002)

Measurement

The final part of any quantum computing algorithm is measurement, when the superpositions collapse. With $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $i \in \{0,1\}^n$, measurement outputs *i* with probability $|\alpha_i|^2$. That is,

$$\left(\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x \, |x\rangle\right) \to i \; \text{ with probability } |\alpha_i|^2$$

Where $i \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Although we can only ensure an outcome with some probability, we can repeat the computation and reduce the probability of error.

Submodules

Quantum Oracle

- The quantum oracle is used when we want to apply a function f: {0,1}ⁿ → {0,1} to a superposition of all elements of {0,1}ⁿ.
- Since all transforms in quantum computing are reversible (and indeed unitary) there needs to be some way to keep the information so that the transform can be reversed.
- ► Classically for x ∈ {0,1}ⁿ we could take x → f(x), however when performing this transform in quantum computing we do the following

$$U_f \ket{x} \ket{y} = \ket{x} \ket{y \oplus f(x)}.$$

Where $y \in \{0, 1\}$ is representing an extra qubit used for this reversibility.

Submodules

Quantum Fourier Transform

The quantum Fourier transform (QFT) is a linear operator which acts on a vector $|j\rangle$ of size 2^n as follows,

$$\mathcal{QFT}\left|j\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}^n} e^{2\pi i j k/2^n} \left|k\right\rangle.$$
⁽²⁾

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Submodules

Inverse Quantum Fourier Transform

$$\mathcal{QFT}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\sum_{k\in\{0,1\}^n}e^{-2\pi i jk/2^n}\ket{k}\right)=\ket{j}$$
(3)

Quantum Algorithms

Overview

- Take some initial state such as $|0
 angle^{\otimes n}$
- ► Quantumize to create a uniform superposition over all possible qubits, often done with the Hadamard gate H^{⊗n}
- Perform computation of some function in simultaneous states of this superposition
- Uncompute the superposition, often done with the Hadamard gate or the inverse Quantum Fourier transform

Measurement of some or all of the circuit

- The Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm is the first example of an exponential "quantum-speedup".
- Imagine we are given a function f : {0,1}ⁿ → {0,1} that has the property that either all values map to 0, or half of them do.
- Our objective is to determine whether every value maps to 0, or half of them do.
- ► To check this classically, one must perform at most 2ⁿ⁻¹ + 1 function evaluations.
- This is because the moment the function outputs a 1 we know that f outputs 1 on half the inputs.
- The Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm requires only 1 function evaluation.

$$|0
angle^{\otimes n}|1
angle o rac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}}\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}|x
angle(|0
angle-|1
angle)$$

Hadamard $H^{\otimes n} \otimes H$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle) & f \text{ oracle} \end{split}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle) & f \text{ oracle} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{since } f(x) = 0,1 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle) & f \text{ oracle} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{since } f(x) = 0, 1 \\ &\to \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} \left[\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right] |1\rangle & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle) & f \text{ oracle} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{since } f(x) = 0, 1 \\ &\to \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} \left[\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right] |1\rangle & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} \left[\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y + f(x)} \right] |y\rangle |1\rangle & \text{Re-ordering} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} 0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle) & f \text{ oracle} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{since } f(x) = 0, 1 \\ &\to \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} \left[\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right] |1\rangle & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} \left[\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y + f(x)} \right] |y\rangle |1\rangle & \text{Re-ordering} \\ &\to \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} \right|^2 & \text{Measurement on first } n \text{ qubits} \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 のへで

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle^{\otimes n}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle (|f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle) & f \text{ oracle} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) & \text{since } f(x) = 0, 1 \\ &\to \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} \left[\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right] |1\rangle & \text{Hadamard } H^{\otimes n} \otimes H \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} \left[\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y + f(x)} \right] |y\rangle |1\rangle & \text{Re-ordering} \\ &\to \left| \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} \right|^2 & \text{Measurement on first } n \text{ qubits} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \\ 0 & \text{if } f(x) = 0 \ \text{for half the } x \in \{0,1\}^n \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The quantum circuit below is exactly the transforms described above.



Figure: Quantum circuit for the Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm (Nielsen & Chuang, 2002)

Harrow-Lloyd Algorithm for Linear equations (Harrow et al., 2009)

- ► Given some N × N matrix A and some vector b, finding the solution x to the equation Ax = b is known as the linear equation problem
- Classically this can be done in many ways, such as matrix inversion (finding A⁻¹ such that x = A⁻¹b)
- Classically the fastest algorithm takes O(Nκ) time, where κ is the condition number of the matrix A
- ► The Harrow-Lloyd algorithm (Harrow et al., 2009) is able to achieve an exponential speedup in N by taking O(log(N)κ²) time, if κ = O(1)

Note that when $\kappa = O(N)$ this algorithm provides no speedup.

Harrow-Lloyd Algorithm for Linear equations (Harrow et al., 2009)

- At this point the reader may question the existence of the algorithm since to output an N long vector x, one must use at least N steps
- ► This is correct, however, if one is interested in some property of x, such as ||Mx||_{tr} for some matrix M, it will provide an exponential speedup over classical methods
- The procedure relies on the quantum phase estimation and hamiltonian simulation, for both of which there are fast quantum algorithms

Grover's search (Grover, 1996), formally described in Nielsen & Chuang (2002), takes a function f such that there is at least one s such that f(s) = 1, a set $S = \{0, 1\}^n$ of inputs of size $|S| = N = 2^n$, and is able to find an $s \in S$ which satisfies f(s) = 1 in $O(\sqrt{N})$ time.

The Grover Search Algorithm is effectively $O(\sqrt{N})$ application of the grover iteration.

The Grover iteration looks like

$$G = (H^{\otimes n}(2|0\rangle^{\otimes n} \langle 0|^{\otimes n} - I_n)H^{\otimes n})U_{\omega}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Nielsen & Chuang (2002).

- To demonstrate how the Grover operator is able to give the desired answer, a geometric analysis is quite useful.
- Let *M* denote the number of solutions to *f*(*s*) = 1, that is *M* = |{*s* ∈ *S* : *f*(*s*) = 1}|.
- ▶ Let $|\beta\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{x \in M} |x\rangle$ be the vector of all M solutions
- And $|\eta\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N-M}} \sum_{x \in S \setminus M} |x\rangle$ be the vector of N M non solutions

We can write the uniform state as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}|x\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{N-M}{N}}|\eta\rangle+\sqrt{\frac{M}{N}}|\beta\rangle.$$

The oracle transform reflects $|\beta\rangle$ about $|\eta\rangle;$ mathematically we can write this as

$$egin{aligned} U_{\omega}(p \left| \eta
ight
angle + q \left| eta
ight
angle) \left(rac{\left| 0
ight
angle - \left| 1
ight
angle}{\sqrt{2}}
ight) &= p \left| \eta
ight
angle \left(rac{\left| 0
ight
angle - \left| 1
ight
angle}{\sqrt{2}}
ight) + q \left| eta
ight
angle \left(rac{\left| 1
ight
angle - \left| 0
ight
angle}{\sqrt{2}}
ight) \ &= (p \left| \eta
angle - q \left| eta
ight
angle) \left(rac{\left| 0
ight
angle - \left| 1
ight
angle}{\sqrt{2}}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

- ► The transform $(H^{\otimes n}(2|0\rangle^{\otimes n} \langle 0|^{\otimes n} I_n)H^{\otimes n})$ is a reflection about $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle$.
- Performing these two reflections together gives a rotation.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let $\cos \frac{\theta}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{N-M}{N}}$, then we have that $\sin \frac{\theta}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{M}{N}}$ and we can re-write the uniform state as,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\{0,1\}^n}|\mathbf{x}\rangle=\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\left|\eta\right\rangle+\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\left|\beta\right\rangle.$$

Then applying the Grover iteration to both sides we get

$$G\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^{n}}|x\rangle\right) = (H^{\otimes n}(2|0)^{\otimes n}\langle 0|^{\otimes n} - I_{n})H^{\otimes n})U_{\omega}\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|\eta\rangle + \sin\frac{\theta}{2}|\beta\rangle\right)$$
$$= (H^{\otimes n}(2|0)^{\otimes n}\langle 0|^{\otimes n} - I_{n})H^{\otimes n})\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2}|\eta\rangle - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}|\beta\rangle\right)$$
$$= \cos\left(\frac{3\theta}{2}\right)|\eta\rangle + \sin\left(\frac{3\theta}{2}\right)|\beta\rangle$$

Applying the iteration k times leads to

$$G^{k}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^{n}}|x\rangle\right) = \cos\left(\frac{2k\theta+\theta}{2}\right)|\eta\rangle + \sin\left(\frac{2k\theta+\theta}{2}\right)|\beta\rangle.$$

Thus we perform the iteration a number of times so that $\sin\left(\frac{2k\theta+\theta}{2}\right)$ is close to 1, which leads to

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt{\frac{N}{M}} \right\rceil.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

This can be derived by

$$\sin\left(\frac{2k\theta+\theta}{2}\right) \approx 1$$
$$\frac{2k\theta+\theta}{2} \approx \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$\theta \frac{2k+1}{2} \approx \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$2k+1 \approx \frac{\pi}{\theta}$$
$$k \approx \frac{\pi}{2\theta} - \frac{1}{2}$$
$$k \approx \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{\frac{N}{M}} - \frac{1}{2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

The algorithm for the case when M = 1 and f(x') = 1 can be defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |0\rangle &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle \right) \text{ Hadamard} \\ \text{ then repeat the Grover iteration } \left[\left(\pi \sqrt{N}/4 \right) \right] \text{ times} \\ &\to \left(\left(H^{\otimes n} (2 |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \langle 0|^{\otimes n} - I_n \right) H^{\otimes n} \right) U_{\omega} \right)^{\left[\left(\pi \sqrt{N}/4 \right) \right]} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle \right) \right) \\ &= G^{\left[\left(\pi \sqrt{N}/4 \right) \right]} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \left(|0\rangle - |1\rangle \right) \right) \\ &\approx |\beta\rangle \left(\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{2} \right) \\ &= |x'\rangle \left(\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{2} \right) \\ &\to x' \text{ Measurement on first } n \text{ qubits} \end{split}$$

Figure: Quantum Search Algorithm (Nielsen & Chuang, 2002)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

To produce a quantum circuit, we can just write out each transform used in order.



Figure: Quantum Circuit for Grover's algorithm (Nielsen & Chuang, 2002; Wikipedia, 2017a)

- The Quantum Counting Algorithm, proposed in Brassard et al. (1998) and described in Nielsen & Chuang (2002), is a combination of Grover search and phase estimation.
- Given an oracle indicator function f_B : A → {0,1} of B ⊆ A, with |A| = N = 2ⁿ, the Quantum Counting Algorithm finds M = |B|.

To find M the Quantum Counting Algorithm finds a solution $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to the equation

$$\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) = \frac{M}{2N} \tag{4}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

then solves for M.

- Phase estimation, is a subroutine used in quantum algorithms to estimate the phase of the eigenvalue of some unitary operator (in this case G) to some precision.
- Phase estimation relies on the fact that when the eigenvalue is written in the form e^{2πijφ} for phase φ, the inverse Fourier transform will transform

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{j\in\{0,1\}^{\lceil \log_2 N\rceil}}e^{2\pi i j\phi}\ket{j}$$

to an approximation of ϕ in the form $\left|\tilde{\phi}\right\rangle$, where $\tilde{\phi}$ is the binary approximation of $\phi.$

To achieve *m* bits of accuracy of θ with probability $1 - \epsilon$, the algorithm works on two registers. The first register is of size $t = m + \lceil \log(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}) \rceil$, and the second register of size n + 1.

The algorithm is much like the phase estimation.

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle^{\otimes t} |0\rangle^{n+1} &\to \frac{1}{2^{t/2}} \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}^t} |k\rangle \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{s \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}} |s\rangle & \text{Hadamards} \\ &\to \frac{1}{2^{t/2}} \sum_{k \in \{0,1\}^t} e^{2\pi i \phi k} |k\rangle \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{s \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}} |s\rangle & \text{Controlled-}G \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{t/2}} \left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 2^{t-1} \phi} |1\rangle \right) \left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 2^{t-2} \phi} |1\rangle \right) \\ &\dots \left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 2^0 \phi} |1\rangle \right) \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{s \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}} |s\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{t/2}} \left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 0.\phi_t} |1\rangle \right) \left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 0.\phi_{t-1} \phi_t} |1\rangle \right) \\ &\dots \left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i 0.\phi_1 \dots \phi_t} |1\rangle \right) \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{s \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}} |s\rangle \\ &\to \left| \tilde{\phi} \right\rangle \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{s \in \{0,1\}^{n+1}} |s\rangle & \text{Inverse Fourier transform} \\ &\to \tilde{\phi} & \text{Measurement on first register} \end{split}$$

The circuit of the algorithm is as follows,



Figure: Quantum Circuit for the Quantum Counting algorithm (Nielsen & Chuang, 2002; Wikipedia, 2017b)

- If we choose $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$ and ϵ sufficiently small (such as 1/10), then the algorithm will take $O(\sqrt{N})$ Grover iterations.
- ► This means that the function f will only be called O(√N) times. Note that this is in contrast to a classical (deterministic or probabilistic) algorithm which will take O(N) oracle calls to achieve the same accuracy.

Theorem (Quantum Counting Correctness)

Given a function $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ such that $M = |\{x \in \{0,1\}^n : f(x) = 1\}|$ and $\sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) = \frac{M}{2N}$, to find θ with m bits of accuracy, with probability $1 - \epsilon$ the Quantum Counting Algorithm requires $O(m + n + \lceil \log(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}) \rceil)$ registers and $O(\sqrt{N})$ time.

Quantum Complexity Theory

Quantum complexity classes we are interested in are **BQP** (Bounded Error Quantum Polynomial time), an analogue of **BPP**, and **EQP** (Exact Quantum Polynomial Time).

Definition

BQP is defined as the set of languages that are accepted with probability $\frac{2}{3}$ by some polynomial time Quantum Turing Machine.

Definition

EQP is defined as the set of languages that are accepted with probability 1 by some polynomial time Quantum Turing Machine.

Quantum Complexity Theory

To compare Quantum complexity classes to classical complexity classes, Bernstein & Vazirani (1997) proved

- $\blacktriangleright \mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{EQP}$
- $BPP \subset BQP$

$\blacktriangleright BQP \subseteq \mathsf{PSPACE}$

They additionally proved that there exist problems which are in **BQP** but are not in **BPP**, showing that Quantum Computing has strict advantages over classical deterministic (or probabilistic) computing.

To be continued...

References I

Aaronson, S. (2005). Quantum computing, postselection, and probabilistic polynomial-time. In *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, volume 461 (pp. 3473–3482).: The Royal Society.

- Aaronson, S. (2013). *Quantum computing since Democritus*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bernstein, E. & Vazirani, U. (1997). Quantum complexity theory. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 26(5), 1411–1473.
- Brassard, G., Høyer, P., & Tapp, A. (1998). Quantum counting. Automata, languages and programming, (pp. 820–831).
- Dirac, P. A. M. (1939). A new notation for quantum mechanics.
 In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical* Society, volume 35 (pp. 416–418).: Cambridge University Press.
- Grover, L. K. (1996). A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing* (pp. 212–219).: ACM.

References II

- Harrow, A. W., Hassidim, A., & Lloyd, S. (2009). Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations. *Physical review letters*, 103(15), 150502.
- Nielsen, M. A. & Chuang, I. (2002). Quantum computation and quantum information.
- Wikipedia (2017a). Grover's algorithm Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [Online; accessed 17-November-2017].
- Wikipedia (2017b). Quantum counting algorithm Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [Online; accessed 17-November-2017].