On the Optimality of General Reinforcement Learners

Jan Leike and Marcus Hutter

http://jan.leike.name/

Australian National University

EWRL'15 — 10 July 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Outline

What is AIXI?

The Dogmatic Prior

Notions Of Optimality

References

- ◆ □ ▶ → 個 ▶ → 注 ▶ → 注 → のへぐ

How to do RL in an unknown computable (non-Markovian) environment?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

How to do RL in an unknown computable (non-Markovian) environment? Idea of *AIXI* [Hut05]:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

How to do RL in an unknown computable (non-Markovian) environment?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Idea of AIXI [Hut05]:

Disregard computation time ;)

How to do RL in an unknown computable (non-Markovian) environment?

Idea of AIXI [Hut05]:

- Disregard computation time ;)
- Take a Bayesian mixture ξ over all computable environments

How to do RL in an unknown computable (non-Markovian) environment?

Idea of AIXI [Hut05]:

- Disregard computation time ;)
- Take a Bayesian mixture ξ over all computable environments
- Weigh environments according to their Kolmogorov complexity

How to do RL in an unknown computable (non-Markovian) environment?

Idea of AIXI [Hut05]:

- Disregard computation time ;)
- Take a Bayesian mixture ξ over all computable environments
- Weigh environments according to their Kolmogorov complexity

Maximize expected rewards in the mixture

How to do RL in an unknown computable (non-Markovian) environment?

Idea of AIXI [Hut05]:

- Disregard computation time ;)
- Take a Bayesian mixture ξ over all computable environments
- Weigh environments according to their Kolmogorov complexity
- Maximize expected rewards in the mixture

Is AIXI optimal?

Outline

What is AIXI?

The Dogmatic Prior

Notions Of Optimality

References

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ 三国 - のへで

Hell

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Hell

hell reward = 0

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Policy π_{Lazy} :

while (true) { do_nothing(); }



Policy π_{Lazy} :

```
while (true) { do_nothing(); }
```

Dogmatic prior ξ' :

if not acting according to π_{Lazy} , go to hell with high probability

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Policy π_{Lazy} :

```
while (true) { do_nothing(); }
```

Dogmatic prior ξ' :

if not acting according to π_{Lazy} , go to hell with high probability

Theorem

Al ξ' acts according to π_{Lazy} as long as $V_{\xi}^{\pi_{Lazy}} > \varepsilon > 0$ (future expected reward does not get close to 0).

Policy π_{Lazy} :

```
while (true) { do_nothing(); }
```

Dogmatic prior ξ' :

if not acting according to π_{Lazy} , go to hell with high probability

Theorem

Al ξ' acts according to π_{Lazy} as long as $V_{\xi}^{\pi_{Lazy}} > \varepsilon > 0$ (future expected reward does not get close to 0).

• Can be made universal (\rightarrow UTM)

```
Policy \pi_{Lazy}:
```

```
while (true) { do_nothing(); }
```

Dogmatic prior ξ' :

if not acting according to π_{Lazy} , go to hell with high probability

Theorem

Al ξ' acts according to π_{Lazy} as long as $V_{\xi}^{\pi_{Lazy}} > \varepsilon > 0$ (future expected reward does not get close to 0).

- Can be made universal (\rightarrow UTM)
- Applies to MDPs

```
Policy \pi_{Lazy}:
```

```
while (true) { do_nothing(); }
```

```
Dogmatic prior \xi':
```

if not acting according to π_{Lazy} , go to hell with high probability

Theorem

Al ξ' acts according to π_{Lazy} as long as $V_{\xi}^{\pi_{Lazy}} > \varepsilon > 0$ (future expected reward does not get close to 0).

- Can be made universal (\rightarrow UTM)
- Applies to MDPs
- Applies to ergodic MDPs for bounded horizon

Outline

What is AIXI?

The Dogmatic Prior

Notions Of Optimality

References

Pareto Optimality

Pareto optimality = no other policy Pareto-dominates my policy

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Pareto Optimality

Pareto optimality = no other policy Pareto-dominates my policy Theorem ([Hut02]) AIXI is Pareto Optimal.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Pareto optimality = no other policy Pareto-dominates my policy Theorem ([Hut02]) AIXI is Pareto Optimal. Theorem ([LH15]) Every policy is Pareto optimal.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Intelligence of policy π [LH07]:

$$\Upsilon_{\xi}(\pi):=\sum_{
u} w_{
u}V^{\pi}_{
u}=V^{\pi}_{\xi}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Intelligence of policy π [LH07]:

$$\Upsilon_{\xi}(\pi) := \sum_{
u} w_{
u} V^{\pi}_{
u} = V^{\pi}_{\xi}$$



Intelligence of policy π [LH07]:

$$\Upsilon_{\xi}(\pi) := \sum_{
u} w_{
u} V^{\pi}_{
u} = V^{\pi}_{\xi}$$



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Intelligence of policy π [LH07]:

$$\Upsilon_{\xi}(\pi) := \sum_{\nu} w_{\nu} V_{\nu}^{\pi} = V_{\xi}^{\pi}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Intelligence of policy π [LH07]:

$$\Upsilon_{\xi}(\pi) := \sum_{
u} w_{
u} V^{\pi}_{
u} = V^{\pi}_{\xi}$$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Intelligence of policy π [LH07]:

$$\Upsilon_{\xi}(\pi) := \sum_{
u} w_{
u} V^{\pi}_{
u} = V^{\pi}_{\xi}$$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Intelligence of policy π [LH07]:

$$\Upsilon_{\xi}(\pi) := \sum_{
u} w_{
u} V^{\pi}_{
u} = V^{\pi}_{\xi}$$



\implies Legg-Hutter intelligence is highly subjective

The Optimality of AIXI AIXI is ...

The Optimality of AIXI AIXI is ...

Pareto optimal [Hut02]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- AIXI is ...
 - Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 - Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal

- AIXI is ...
 - Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]

AIXI is ...

Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 maximal Legg-Hutter intelligence

AIXI is ...

- Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal
- balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 maximal Legg-Hutter intelligence highly subjective

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

AIXI is ...

- Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal
- balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 maximal Legg-Hutter intelligence highly subjective

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

self-optimizing [Hut02]

AIXI is ...

- Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal
- balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 maximal Legg-Hutter intelligence highly subjective
- self-optimizing [Hut02] not applicable to the class of all computable environments

AIXI is ...

- Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal
- balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 maximal Legg-Hutter intelligence highly subjective
- self-optimizing [Hut02] not applicable to the class of all computable environments

\implies No formal argument for AIXI's optimality

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

AIXI is ...

- Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal
- balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 maximal Legg-Hutter intelligence highly subjective
- self-optimizing [Hut02] not applicable to the class of all computable environments

\implies No formal argument for AIXI's optimality

Problem: Bayesian RL agents do not explore enough to lose the prior's bias

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

AIXI is ...

- Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 Trivial: every policy is Pareto optimal
- balanced Pareto optimal [Hut02]
 maximal Legg-Hutter intelligence highly subjective
- self-optimizing [Hut02] not applicable to the class of all computable environments

\implies No formal argument for AIXI's optimality

Problem: Bayesian RL agents do not explore enough to lose the prior's bias

Solution: Add exploration through knowledge-seeking [OLH13, Lat13] or optimism [SH12]

\implies weak asymptotic optimality

Answer: probably, but biased by the prior



Answer: probably, but biased by the prior

But: AIXI will wirehead [RO11] and then kill everyone

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Outline

What is AIXI?

The Dogmatic Prior

Notions Of Optimality

References

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ 三 のへの

References I



Marcus Hutter.

Self-optimizing and Pareto-optimal policies in general environments based on Bayes-mixtures.

In Computational Learning Theory, pages 364–379. Springer, 2002.

Marcus Hutter.

Universal Artificial Intelligence: Sequential Decisions Based on Algorithmic Probability.

Springer, 2005.



Tor Lattimore.

Theory of General Reinforcement Learning.

PhD thesis, Australian National University, 2013.



Universal intelligence: A definition of machine intelligence. *Minds & Machines*, 17(4):391–444, 2007.

References II



Jan Leike and Marcus Hutter.

Bad universal priors and notions of optimality.

In Conference on Learning Theory, pages 1244–1259, 2015.

Laurent Orseau, Tor Lattimore, and Marcus Hutter. Universal knowledge-seeking agents for stochastic environments. In *Algorithmic Learning Theory*, pages 158–172. Springer, 2013.

Mark Ring and Laurent Orseau.

Delusion, survival, and intelligent agents.

In Artificial General Intelligence, pages 11-20. Springer, 2011.

Peter Sunehag and Marcus Hutter.

Optimistic agents are asymptotically optimal.

In Australasian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 15–26. Springer, 2012.